Case 8:14-cv-00301-CJC-AN Document 1 Filed 02/28/14 Page 1 of 13 Page ID #:5 Mathew K. Higbee, Esq. SBN 241380 Julianne Vandergrift, Esq. SBN 285899 HIGBEE & ASSOCIATES 2014 FEB 28 PM 2: 04 1504 Brookhollow Dr., Suite 112 CLERK U.S. BISTRICT COURT GENTRAL DIST OF CACIF. SANIA ANA Santa Ana, CA 92705 Phone: (714) 617-8385 Fax: (714) 617-8511 5 Email: jvandergrift@higbeeassociates.com 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 XOCHITL ARAMBURO 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 XOCHITL ARAMBURO, an Case No. SACV14-00301 CJC (ANx) individual, 13 14 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES Plaintiff, 15 ٧. 16 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL PREMIUM RECEIVABLES, LLC, 17 and DOES 1 through 10, 18 Defendants. 19 20 21 Plaintiff, XOCHITL ARAMBURO, for her Complaint against Defendants and each 22 of them, alleges as follows: 23 INTRODUCTION 24 The United States Congress has found abundant evidence of the use 1. 25 of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors, 26 and has determined that abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number 27 of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions 28 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

- 2. The California legislature has determined that the banking and credit system and grantors of credit to consumers are dependent upon the collection of just and owing debts and that unfair or deceptive collection practices undermine the public confidence that is essential to the continued functioning of the banking and credit system and sound extensions of credit to consumers. The Legislature has further determined that there is a need to ensure that debt collectors exercise this responsibility with fairness, honesty and due regard for the debtor's rights and that debt collectors must be prohibited from engaging in unfair or deceptive acts or practices.²
- 3. XOCHITL ARAMBURO, (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), by Plaintiff's attorneys, brings this action to challenge the actions of PREMIUM RECEIVABLES, LLC, (hereinafter "Defendant"), with regard to attempts by Defendants, debt collectors, to unlawfully and abusively collect an debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, and this conduct caused Plaintiff damages.
- 4. For the purposes of this Complaint for Damages, unless otherwise indicated, "Defendant" includes all agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogates, representatives and insurers of Defendant(s) named in this caption.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. Jurisdiction of this Court arises pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15

¹ 15 U.S.C. 1692(a)-(e) ² Cal. Civ. Code 1788.1 (a)-(b)

- U.S.C. § 1692k(d), and 28 U.S.C. § 1367 for supplemental state law claims.
- 6. This action arises out of Defendants' violations of the following: the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, California Civil Code §§ 1788-1788.32 (RFDCPA), the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 et seq.
- 7. Because Defendant does business within the State of California, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 8. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

PARTIES

- 9. Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in the West Covina, County of Los Angeles, State of California and is obligated or allegedly obligated to pay a debt and is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 10. Plaintiff is a natural person from whom a debt collector sought to collect a consumer debt which was due and owing or alleged to be due and owing from Plaintiff and is a "debtor" as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(h).
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant is a company operating from the City of Santa Ana, County of Orange, State of California.
- 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant conducted business from the City of Santa Ana, County of Orange, State of California.
- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendant is a person who uses an instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails in any business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts, or who regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another and is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

ļ

- 14. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants are not attorneys or counselors at law and are persons who, in the ordinary course of business, regularly, on behalf of themselves or others, engages in debt collection as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(b), and are "debt collectors" as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(c).
- 15. This case involves money, property or their equivalent, due or owing or alleged to be due or owing from a natural person by reason of a consumer credit transaction. As such, this action arises out of a "consumer debt" and "consumer credit" as those terms are defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(f).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 16. At all times relevant, Plaintiff was an individual residing within the State of California.
- 17. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times relevant Defendant conducted business in the State of California.
- 18. On or about December 13, 2013, Plaintiff retained First Source Law on the above referenced account.³
- 19. On or about December 13, 2013, First Source Law sent a Letter of Representation/Power of Attorney to the original creditor in this matter, GE Card Care, via U.S. Mail.
- 20. Sometime before December 2013, Plaintiff allegedly incurred financial obligations to GE Card Care that were money, property, or their equivalent, which is due or owing, or alleged to be due or owing, from a natural person to another person and were therefore "debt(s)" as that term is defined by California Civil Code §1788.2(d), and a "consumer debt" as that term is defined by California Civil Code §1788.2(f).
- 21. These financial obligations were primarily for personal, family or household purposes and are therefore "debt(s)" as that term is defined by 15

³ First Source Law is now known as Higbee & Associates.

I

2

- 5
- 7 8

6

10

9

12

11

- 13 14
- 15 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22 23
- 24
- 26

25

- 27
- 28

- On February 7, 2014, Defendant, through its agent, Steve D'Angelo, 22. contacted Plaintiff at her place of employment, after calling four times that morning. Plaintiff answered the telephone call and Mr. D'Angelo informed Plaintiff that "Premium Assets" was attempting to collect on a debt.
- This telephone message to Plaintiff was a "communication" as that 23. term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(2), a "debt collection" as that term is defined by California Civil Code §1788.2(b), and an "initial communication" with Plaintiff consistent with 15 U.S.C. §1692g(a).
- Defendant called Plaintiff again at her place of employment on 24. February 10, 2014 to attempt to collect a debt that Defendant did not verify.
- During the course of the February 10, 2014 conversation, Plaintiff 25. asked if Defendant had been contacted by her counsel. Defendant said he refused to deal with any third parties in this matter.
- 26. These phone calls by Defendant had the natural consequence of harassing, oppressing, or abusing a person in connection with the collection of the alleged debt. As such, Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. §1692d and California Civil Code §1788.11. Because Defendant's actions violated 15 U.S.C. §1692d, Defendant's conduct also violated California Civil Code §1788.17.
- The communication made by Defendant to Plaintiff was a false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of the alleged debt. As such, this action by Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692e, 1692e(2)(A), and 1692e(10). Because Defendant's actions violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A), and 1692e(10), Defendant also violated California Civil Code §1788.17.
- 28. This communication by Defendant failed to comply with the notice required by 15 U.S.C. §1692g(a)(3) because it attempted to limit the rights available to Plaintiff in a manner that creates a contradiction that would confuse

the least sophisticated consumer into disregarding his or her rights pursuant to the validation notice required in 15 U.S.C. §1692g.

- 29. Defendant failed within 5 days after this initial communication made on February 7, 2014 with Plaintiff, to provide written notification containing a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after the receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debtor. Defendant failed within five days after the initial communication with Plaintiff to provide written notification of a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, thereby violating 15 U.S.C. §§1692e, 1692e(10), 1692f, and 1692g. Because of this omission, Defendant also violated California Civil Code §1788.17.
- 30. Instead of sending the verification notice to Plaintiff, Defendant, as a debt collector, filed a small claims lawsuit again Plaintiff on February 10, 2014, less than five days after the initial contact, allowing no time for Plaintiff to request verification of the debt or authenticate who even owned the debt.
- 31. Plaintiff was served with the small claims complaint on February 14, 2014, which is a violation of the California Superior Court Rules.
- 32. In February 2014, Plaintiff verified that the owner of the GE Credit Care debt was Z & S Consulting as of August 2013.
- 33. Plaintiff made numerous calls to Defendant, attempting to verify the name of the owner of the debt as well as the correct name of the debt collector in order to ascertain the validity of the alleged debt but was unable to gain cooperation. Several times, the persons answering the phones refused to give any information at all.

	I
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
I	1
1	2
1	3
I	4
1	5
1	6
]	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	I
2:	2
2.	3
2	4
2:	5
20	5
21	7
28	3

34. Defendant used various names to collect the debt and failed to identify who owned the debt, thereby violating 15 U.S.C. §1692(e)14. Because of this violation, Defendant also violated California Civil Code § 1788.11(b).

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.

- 35. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 36. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.
- 37. As a result of each and every Defendant's violations of the FDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to any actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1); statutory damages in an amount up to \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A); and, reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) from Defendant.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act §§ 1788-1788.32 (RFDCPA)

- 38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 39. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple violations of the RFDCPA.
- 40. As a result of Defendant's violations of the RFDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to any actual damages pursuant to California Civil Code § 1788.30(a); statutory damages for a knowing or willful violation in the amount up to \$1,000.00 pursuant to California Civil Code § 1788.30(b); and reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to California Civil Code § 1788.30(c) from Defendant.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF 1 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant 2 for: 3 Fair Debt Collection Practices Act 4 an award of actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) in an 5 amount to be adduced at trial, from Defendant; 6 an award of statutory damages of \$1,000.00, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 7 1692k(a)(2)(A), from Defendant; 8 an award of costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to 15 9 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) from Defendant. 10 Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act an award of actual damages pursuant to California Civil Code § 1788.30(a) 12 in an amount to be adduced at trial, from Defendant; 13 an award of statutory damages of \$1,000.00, pursuant to California Civil 14 Code § 1788.30(b), from Defendant; 15 an award of costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to 16 California Civil Code § 1788.30(c), from Defendant. 17 18 19 Respectfully submitted this 27th day of February, 2014, 20 21 22 23 HIGBEE & ASSOCIÁTES Attorney for Plaintiff 24 25 26 27 28

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Plaintiff, XOCHITL ARAMBURO, hereby demands a trial by jury in the above matter. Respectfully submitted this 27th day of February, 2014, By: Julianne Vandergrift/ HIGBEE & ASSOCIATES' Attorney for Plaintiff

Case 8:14-cv-00301-CJC-AN Document 1 Filed 02/28/14 Page 10 of 13 Page ID #:14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT CORP. CALIFORNIA (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself DEFENDANTS (Check box if you are representing yourself []) PREMIUM RECEIVABLES, LLC., and DOES 1 through 10, :OCHITLARAMBURO, an individual o) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Los Angeles County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Orange County XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) ;) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number) If you are Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number) If you are epresenting yourself, provide the same information. representing yourself, provide the same information, 1athew K. Higbee, Esq. SBN 241380 Julianne Vandergriff, Esq. SBN 285899 **HIGBEE & ASSOCIATES** 504 Brookhollow Dr., Suite 112 Santa Ana, CA 92705 Phone: (714) 617-8385 ax: (714) 617-8511 Email: jvandergrift@higbeeassociates.com III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES-For Diversity Cases Only . BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an X in one box only.) (Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defendant) 1. U.S. Government PTF DEF DEF Incorporated or Principal Place | 3. Federal Question (U.S.) 4 4 Citizen of This State Plaintiff of Business in this State Government Not a Party) Citizen of Another State Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 ☐ 2 ☐ 2 of Business in Another State 2. U.S. Government 4. Diversity (Indicate Citizenship Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Nation - 🗌 6 📗 6 ☐ 3 ☐ 3 Defendant of Parties in Item III) Foreign Country J. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.) 6. Multi-1. Original 2. Removed from 3. Remanded from 4. Reinstated or 5. Transferred from Another District Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened District (Specify) Litigation '. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: 💢 Yes 🧻 No (Check "Yes" only if demanded in complaint,) LASS ACTION under F.R.Cv.P. 23: MONEY DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT: \$ 2000 1. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.) or Damages II. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only). OTHER STATUTES REAL PROPERTY CONT CONTRACT MMIGRATION PRISONER PETITIONS' PROPERTY RIGHTS 110 insurance 375 False Claims Act 240 Torts to Land 462 Naturalization Habeas Corpus: 820 Copyrights Application 400 State 245 Tort Product 463 Alien Detained 120 Marine 830 Patent Reapportionment Liability 465 Other 510 Motions to Vacate 290 All Other Real 840 Trademark 130 Miller Act Immigration Actions Sentence 410 Antitrust Property 530 General TORTS SOCIAL SECURITY 140 Negotiable 430 Banks and Banking TORTS Instrument PERSONAL PROPERTY. 535 Death Penalty 861 HIA (1395ff) 450 Commerce/ICC PERSONAL INJURY 150 Recovery of 370 Other Fraud Other: 862 Black Lung (923) Rates/Etc. Overpayment & 310 Airplane 460 Deportation Enforcement of 371 Truth in Lending 540 Mandamus/Other 863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (a)) 315 Airplane Judgment Product Liability 380 Other Personal 470 Racketeer Influ-550 Civil Rights 864 SSID Title XVI 151 Medicare Act 320 Assault, Libel & enced & Corrupt Org. Property Damage 555 Prison Condition 865 RSI (405 (g)) Slander 385 Property Damage 480 Consumer Credit 152 Recovery of 560 Civil Detainee 330 Fed, Employers' Product Liability Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Vet.) FEDERAL TAX SUITS Conditions of Liability 490 Cable/Sat TV BANKRUPTCY Confinement 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or 340 Marine 850 Securities/Com-FORFEITURE/PENALTY-422 Appeal 28 Defendant) 153 Recovery of modities/Exchange 345 Marine Product USC 158 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC Overpayment of 625 Drug Related Liability 7609 890 Other Statutory Vet. Benefits 423 Withdrawal 28 Seizure of Property 21 USC 157 Actions 350 Motor Vehicle USC 881 160 Stockholders' 690 Other | 891 Agricultural Acts 355 Motor Vehicle Suits M CIVIL RIGHTS ··· Product Liability LABOR 893 Environmental 190 Other 440 Other Civil Rights 360 Other Personal Matters Contract 710 Fair Labor Standards Injury 441 Voting ~. 895 Freedom of Info. Act 195 Contract 362 Personal Injury-Act Product Liability 442 Employment 720 Labor/Mgmt. Med Malpratice 443 Housing/ Relations 896 Arbitration 365 Personal Injury-196 Franchise Accomodations Product Liability 740 Railway Labor Act REAL PROPERTY 899 Admin, Procedures 445 American with 367 Health Care/ 751 Family and Medical Disabilities-Act/Review of Appeal of 210 Land Pharmaceutical Leave Act Agency Decision Employment Condemnation Personal Injury 790 Other Labor 446 American with 220 Foreclosure Product Liability Disabilities-Other Litigation 368 Asbestos 950 Constitutionality of 230 Rent Lease & 791 Employee Ret. Inc. Personal Injury State Statutes 448 Education Ejectment Security Act Product Liability OR OFFICE USE ONLY:

Case Number: SACV14-00301 CJC (ANx)

Case 8:14-cv-00301-CJC-AN Document 1 Filed 02/28/14 Page 11 of 13 Page ID #:15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

/III. VENUE: Your answers to the questions below will determine the division of the Court to which this case will most likely be initially assigned. This initial assignment subject to change, in accordance with the Court's General Orders, upon review by the Court of your Complaint or Notice of Removal.

Question A: Was this case removed from	STATE CASE WAS PENDING IN THE COUNTY OF INITIAL DIVISION IN CACD IS:				
tate court? Yes X No	[Los Angeles			Western	
F"no, " go to Question B. If "yes," check the	Ventura, Santa Barbara, or Sa	an Luis Obispo		Western	
oox to the right that applies, enter the orresponding division in response to	Orange			Southern .	
Question D, below, and skip to Section IX.	Riverside or San Bernardino			Eastern	
Question B: Is the United States, or one of ts agencies or employees, a party to this action? Yes No I no, go to Question C. If yes, check the pox to the right that applies, enter the corresponding division in response to Question D, below, and skip to Section IX.	If the United States, or A PLAINTIFE? Then check the box below for the which the majority of DEFENDAN Los Angeles Ventura, Santa Barbara, or Sa Obispo Orange Riverside or San Bernardino Other	county in Then curity reside. If Los Ann Luis Vent Obis	rge rside or San Bernardino	INITIAL DIVISION IN CACD IS: iy in de. Western	
-	L. Other				
plaintiffs, defendants, and claims? Los Ai	ngeles Ventura, Santa Barbara, o Inty San Luis Obispo Gountle	\$ 14 . Mark 4 1 149 42 115	D Riverside or San Bernardino Counties	E. Outside the Central District of California	F. Other
Indicate the location in which a majority of plaintiffs reside:	N D				
Indicate the location in which a majority of defendants reside:		\boxtimes			
·					
					•
C.1. Is either of the following true? If so, c	theck the one that applies:	C.2. Is either of	the following true? If so, cl	neck the one that applies:	
2 or more answers in Column C		2 or me	2 or more answers in Column D		
only 1 answer in Column C and no answers in Column D		only 1	only 1 answer in Column D and no answers in Column C		
Your case will initially be assigned to the SOUTHERN DIVISION. Enter "Southern" in response to Question D, below. If none applies, answer question C2 to the right.			Your case will initially be assigned to the EASTERN DIVISION. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question D, below. If none applies, go to the box below.		
Your case will initially be assigned to the WESTERN DIVISION. Enter "Western" in response to Question D below.					
Question D: Initial Division?	Question D: Initial Division?				
Enter the initial division determined by Question A, B, or Cabove: SOUTHERN DIVISION			DIVISION		

Page 2 of 3

Case 8:14-cv-00301-CJC-AN Document 1 Filed 02/28/14 Page 12 of 13 Page ID #:16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

IX(a). IDENTICAL CA	SES: Has this ac	tion been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed?	☐ NO	YES
If yes, list case num	ber(s):			
IX(b). RELATED CASE	S: Have any cas	es been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case?	□ №	YES
If yes, list case num	ber(s):			
Civil cases are deemed	related if a previo	usly filed case and the present case:		
(Check all boxes that app	oly) A. Arise	from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or		
	☐ B. Call fo	r determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact	; or	
	C. For ot	her reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or		
	D. Involv	e the same patent, trademark or copyr <u>ight, and one</u> of the factors identified above in a,	b or calso is pre	sent,
SIGNATURE OF AT OR SELF-REPRESENT Notice to Counsel/Parties:	The CV-71 (JS-44)	Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained borein neither replace por supplement	Supplied and se	pire of plandings or
Strict Dathers as redemined by	raw. This form, an	proved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required proof of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instruc	uircuant to Local	Dido 2 the not filed
ley to Statistical codes relat	ting to Social Secur	ity Cases:		<u></u>
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action		•
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))	Security Act, as a of services unde	mended. Also, r the program.
862	BL.	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)		
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))		
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))		
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.		
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social So (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))	curity Act, as amo	ended.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES JUDGES

This case has been ass	igned to District Judge	Cormac J. Carne	y and the assigned
Magistrate Judge is	Arthur Nakazato	. •	
The case nu	nber on all documents filed w	ith the Court should r	ead as follows:
	SACV14-00301	CJC (ANx)	- ·
	Order 05-07 of the United Stat Ige has been designated to hea		
All discovery related	motions should be noticed on	the calendar of the Ma	agistrate Judge.
		· :	
		Clerk, U. S. Distr	
	The second second second		
February 28, 2014			
Date		By <u>Lori Wagers</u> Deputy Clerk	
	•		enter the second
	NOTICE TO C	COUNSEL	
A copy of this notice must be	served with the summons and o	complaint on all defend	lants (if a removal action is
filed, a copy of this notice mu	st be served on all plaintiffs).		
Subsequent documents mus	t be filed at the following loc	ation:	ing the second of the second o
Western Division 312 N. Spring Street, G Los Angeles, CA 90012	Southern Division	Eat., Ste 1053	istern Division 70 Twelfth Street, Room 134 verside, CA 92501
Failure to file at the proper	location will result in your de	ocuments being retur	ned to you.
CV-18 (08/13)	NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UN	ITED STATES JUDGES	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>